

Background

Extremely High Impact- Burden (USA)

- 1. 1in 3 on screening colonoscopy.
- 2. 20% of population diagnosed.
- 3. 306000 hospital discharge in 2004¹ 4. chronic disease
- 5. predicted to increase 23% over the next 20 years³

Very Costy⁴

USA 2014-the employer insured population-227638 individuals with at least one outpatient hemorrhoid-related claim

-approaches \$800 million

-life time cost-recurrence, complications

Very strong need for a better option

Office procedures, Excisional methods and Other methods all has limitations or critical complications. too many options-selection bias

Purpose

Describe new methods for management of advance haemorrhoids and compare with existing methods based on producing **Personalized Purposeful Predictable and Precise Fibrosis (4PF)** which decreases vascularity and distensibility of Internal and External haemorrhoids, strengthen mucosa and skin over it, increases fixation and still preserving anatomy, physiology and function by Intentionaluse of fibrosis.

Keywords: Hemorrhoids; haemorrhoids; Hemorrhoidectomy; Haemorrhoidectomy; 4PF; Internal haemorrhoids; stapled haemorrhoidopexy; doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation; Recto-anal repair; Transanal haemorrhoidal Dearterilization; LigaSure Harmoni Harmoni

Disclouser: No conflict of interest

Advance Haemorrhoids: Last Line - personalized Purposeful Predictable and Precise Fibrosis (4PF)

Patel Parshottambhai B. , Patel Sitaben P. , Patel Kush P. , Patel Lav P. , shiv shraddha Nursing Home, Snap Finance LLC, The University of Kansas Medical Center

Selected Patients in this Series Grade4 Complicated Dietary and lifestyle modification (such as high fiber-diet, laxatives, hydration, avoidance of straining) +EXTERNAL Medication +- CRICUMFERENTIALLY PROTRUDING +- VASCULAR Office- based procedure +- SECONDARY such as banding, sclerotherapy) Non-excisional operation (such as DG-HAL,SH/PPH) (Such as open and closed haemorrhoidectomy) DG-HAL: Doppler-guided hemorrhoidalartery ligation; SH: Stapled hemorrhoidopexy; PPH: Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoid

Method

A- Before,
B & C -Internal Haemorrhoids,
D & E-External Haemorrhoids,
F- Final Look
(Note:Multiple low power cauterizations with 24 gauze needles)

Note: Nothing is dissected or cut in internal Haemorrhoids except terminal part that to if needed. In external Haemorrhoid small extra tissue is cut so there are no skin tags. Goal is to decrease vascularity and induce fibrosis and fixation.

- 1- No important & Critical Complications
- 2- No Dressing
- 3- No Follow up
- 4- Time tested maximum follow up 30 years. minimum follow up 5 years

Comparison with other method Low Cost Comparatively more effective Reproductible Ease of Learing Feasible Easy to use Safe Reliable Discharge Pruritus Pain

N=156 91/65:M/F 112 Requested 65/47:M/F 36 Visited 22/14:M/F Ay/33:M/F 69 Communicated Not Possible Not Possible Not Possible Not Possible

Treatment of hemorrhoids: A coloproctologist's View WJG

Primary haemorrhage 0
Secondary haemorrhage 0
Reoperation 0
Anal stricture/ stenosis 0
Incontinence 0
Recurrence Bleeding 0
Prolapse 0

| Other | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Therapy | Pain | Early bleeding | Late bleeding | Recurrence of hemorrhoids | Poor healing (percent of patients) | Urinary retension | Incontinence | Anal stricture or stenosis |
| Conventional Hemorrhoidectomy | 5-76 | 2-31 | <1-16 | 0-5 | 0-10 | <1-12 | 2-15 | 0-5 |
| Staple Hemorrhoidectomy | <1-40 | 0-7 | 2-12 | 4-11 | 1-6 | 2-14 | 0-11 | 0-5 |
| Hemorrhoidectomy with use of energy device | 5-38 | 0-4 | <1-6 | 0-2 | 0-5 | 2-15 | 0-27 | 0-3 |

Data, reported by De la Garza and counihan, 36 are from randomized, controlledtrials or meta-analyses. The duration of follow-up varied but was generally at least 6 months. Pain was defined as requirement for ongoing analgesic medication 5 days after the procedure. Early bleeding was defined as bleeding that occured 48 hours or less after the procedure, and late bleeding as bleeding that occured more than 48 hours after procedure.

Hemorrhoids danny Jacobs, M.D., M.P.H N Engl J Med 2014;371:944-951 DOI:10.1056/NEJMcp1204188

Comparison: 4PF Vs. Other

References: 1.Everhart JE, Ruhl CE. Burden of digestive diseases in the United states part II: lower gastrointestinal diseases. Gastroenterology 2009; 136:741-754.

2. Le Clere FB, Moss AJ, Everhart JE, et al. Prevalence of major digestive disorders and bowel symptoms, 1989. Adv Data 1992;212:1-15.

- 3. Etzioni DA, BEART RW Jr, Madoff RD, et al. Impact of the aging population on the demand for colorectal procedures. Dis colon Rectum 2009;52:583-590; discussion 590-591.
- 4. https://www.unboundmedicine.com/medline/citation/30741736/
- Burden_and_cost_of_outpatient_hemorrhoids_in_the_United_states_Employer_Insured_population_2014.
- 5. MacRae HM, McLead RS. Comparison of hemorrhoidal treatment modalities: a meta- analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 1995;38:687-694.

Conclusion: 4PF is superior to all available option for advance haemorrhoids

For more Information:
Parshottambhai Patel M.S.; ADIT
Shiv Shraddha Nursing
Home, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India
Mobile: +91 9898989626
cancervijay@gmail.com